

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,056	03/27/2001	Kai Yang	50432-067	9188
7590 08/17/2004			EXAMINER	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			NGUYEN, THANH T	
600 13th Street,	N.W.			
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2813	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/817,056	YANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh T. Nguyen	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		: :			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.		:			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	:			
5) Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are allowed.		:			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	:			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1:85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ndority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	· priority under 55 5.5.5. § 115(a)	-(a) 51 (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmont/c\					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 10 with the exhibit, filed on 7/29/04, with respect to claims 1-5 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-5 has been withdrawn. The rejections as follow

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chooi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,284,657).

Referring to figures 1, 4-8, Chooi et al. teaches a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device:

Forming a single first dielectric layer (14) overlying a substrate (10, see figure 1 and col. 5, lines 24-48),

Forming a first barrier layer (16, a silicon nitride layer, SiN, meeting claim 2), comprising a first dielectric barrier material of silicon nitride (20) on the single first dielectric layer (14),

Etching to form a single opening (25) entirely within and defined by side surfaces and a bottom of the single first dielectric layer and a bottom (14, see figure 4),

Forming a second barrier layer (15, a silicon carbide layer, SiC, called a "non-metallic layer" in Chooi et al., see figures 5, col. 6, lines 21-33, meeting claim 2), comprising a second dielectric barrier material of SiC (15) different from the first dielectric barrier material of silicon nitride (16, SiN), on an upper surface of the first barrier layer (16) overlying the single first dielectric layer (14), on the side surfaces of the first dielectric layer (14) defining the first opening and on the bottom of the opening (25),

Etching, with selectivity to the first barrier layer (16), to remove the second barrier layer (15) from, and stopping on, the upper surface of the first barrier layer (16), and to remove the second barrier layer (15) from the bottom of the single opening (25), leaving a portion of the second barrier layer (15) as a liner (19) on the side surfaces of the single first dielectric layer (14) defining the single opening (25, see figure 6, col. 6, lines 34-49), and

Filling the opening with metal (copper, meeting claim 5) to form a lower metal feature (see col. 7, lines 5-7),

In regarding to claim 3, depositing second barrier layer of silicon carbide (15) by chemical vapor deposition (CVD, see col. 6, lines 23-33, meeting portion of claim 3),

In regarding to claim 4, depositing first barrier layer of silicon nitride (16, SiN) at a thickness of between 500-5,000 A° (see col. 5, lines 35-45) and second barrier layer of silicon carbide (15) at the thickness of between 50-5,000 A° (see col. 6, lines 23-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chooi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,284,657) as applied to claims 1-2 and 4-5 above, and further in view of Chung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,017,817).

Chooi et al. teaches using silicon nitride layer as a cap layer (first barrier layer) and silicon carbide as a second barrier layer as shown in figures 1 and 4, but fails to teach that depositing a silicon nitride layer by a Chemical vapor deposition method (CVD, as claimed in claim 3). Nevertheless, such processing step is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Chung et al. Chung et al. teach a method of forming a silicon nitride (208) cap layer by using CVD process (see col. 3, lines 25-29 and figure 2A).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time the invention was made would depositing a silicon nitride layer as first barrier layer and silicon carbide as second barrier layer by a CVD method in Chooi et al.'s process as taught by

Art Unit: 2813

Chung et al. *because* depositing a silicon nitride layer by CVD process would provide a film layer having good thickness uniformity, high purity and good step coverage.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims because inter alia the prior art of record fails to disclose nor suggest to combine forming a third dielectric barrier layer on the first barrier layer and on upper surface of the lower metal feature, a second dielectric layer on the third dielectric barrier layer, a fourth dielectric barrier layer on the second dielectric layer, forming a third dielectric layer on the fourth dielectric barrier layer, forming a fifth dielectric barrier layer on the third dielectric layer, etching to form a dual damascene opening in the second and third dielectric layers over the lower metal feature, forming a sixth dielectric barrier layer comprising a sixth dielectric barrier material different from the first, fourth and fifth dielectric layers in the dual damascene opening, and filling the dual damascene opening with metal to form a metal line connected to an underlying metal via in the claimed invention as a whole.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2813

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1695, or by Email via address Thanh Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See MPEP 203.08).

Thanh Nguyen
Patent Examiner

Man

Patent Examining Group 2800